IN THE MAGISTRATES' COURT OF RIVERS STATE OF NIGERIA
IN THE PORT HARCOURT MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT
HOLDEN AT SMALL CLAIMS COURT 3, PORT HARCOURT
BEFORE HIS WORSHIP COLLINS 6. ALI ESQ., TODAY THURSDAY, THE 6TH
DAY OF JULY, 2023.

SUIT NO. IPMC/SCC/59/2023.

BETWEEN:

MRS, LOUISA JOSEPH

CLAIMANT

AND

AMAHAOTU CHIDINMA THERESA

22 15 20 20

DEFENDANT

Case called.

Claimant present.

Defendant absent.

JUDGMENT

The Claimant commenced this case against the Defendant on the 31^{st} May 2023 and claimed as per the claim attached to the summons as follows:-

- 1. Principal sum 41500,000,00
- 2. Arrears of interest unpaid \$1,050,000.00
- 3. Cost of action 1100,000.00

Total = N1,650,000.00

The Defendant was served with the claim and summons personally on the 7^{th} June 2023 and she filed an admission FORM RSSC 5 on the 9^{th} June 2023 wherein she admitted owing the Claimant \$\text{M350,000,00}\$ and asked for permission to pay the sum with costs on a monthly installmental of \$\text{M10,000,00}\$ on the 30^{th} of every month as there is a slow down in her business coupled with her mother's burial preparaton.

Plea of not liable was entered for the Defendant and the case proceeded to trial. The Claimant testified as CW1 and called one Mr. Victor Okorie who testified as CW2. The Claimant tendered her Access Bank Statement of Account from 1st May 2021 - 20th

¹ LL B, LL M, BL, A. IDRI, Chief Magistrate Grade I, and the Presiding Magistrate, Small Claims Court 93, Port Harcourt, Rivers State,

June 2021 and Demand Letter dated 9th May 2023 as Exhibits A and B. The Defendant testified as DW1 and closed her case.

At the close of trial, the Defendant waived her right of address while the learned Claimant counsel, J. Nwonodi-Morgan, Esq. filed final written address on behalf of the Claimant on the 26th June 2023. The Claimant's final written address was adopted on the 30th June 2023 and the case adjourned for Judgment.

The learned Claimant counsel raised a lone issue for determination in the Claimant's final written adaress thus:

Whether the Claimant has proved that by agreement of friendly loan, she is entitled to the principal sum and the 10% interest agreed by the parties?

The Claimant's testimony as CW1 is that the Defendant came to her for financial assistance through one of the Defendant's friend by name Esther. The CW1 testified that the Defendant agreed that she will pay N50,000.00 monthly on the capital sum of N500,000.00 for three months; and thereafter repay the capital. The CW1 testified that after the N500,000.00 was given to the Defendant to solve her business challenges, the Defendant paid the N50,000.00 interest for three months but have since failed to repay the principal sum of N500,000.00 despite repeated demands. The CW1 denied ever giving anyone money and collecting interest in the past; and said all she wants from the Court is for the Defendant to repay her N500,000.00. On her part, the Defendant as DW1 admitted that the Claimant gave her loan of N500,000.00 but insisted that she has repaid N150,000.00 and now owes the Claimant the sum of N350,000.00 which she said she is ready to pay but needs time as she is preparing for her mother's burial.

The evidence before the Court shows that the Claimant gave what seems like a friendly loan of N500,000.00 to the Defendant to revive her ailing business but on the understanding that the Defendant would repay the money within three months with a monthy interest of N50,000.00. The Defendant by the evidence before the Court has repaid the three months interest of N150,000.00 and is now owing the principal sum of N500,000.00. Although, the Defendant claimed that the N150,000.00 paid to the Claimant is part of the principal sum, I do not agree that the soft or friendly loan of

N500,000.00 was given to the Defendant interest free. The CW2 who witnessed the transaction confirmed that N50,000.00 monthly interest was agreed as testified by the Claimant. What the Claimant is asking for by her oral testimony in Court as appased to her claim; is the repayment of the principal sum of N500,000.00 only. Therefore the submission of the learned Claimant Counsel that the Claimant in this case falls under the exception of a Money Lender and therefore entitled to charge interest is not supported by evidence. The law is settled that address of counsel no matter how brilliant and alluring cannot take the place of evidence before the Court. See the case of NIPOST w Musa [2013] LPELR-20780 (CA). The Claimant did not give evidence on the interest of NIPOST w support of a claim implies that the claim is deemed abandoned. See the case of Adequent v Okobi [1997] LPELR-8055 and Ifeta v S.P.D.C [2006] 7 MJSC 121, 123.

I hold that the Claimant has partly proved her claims. Accordingly, judgment is entered for the Claimant against the Defendant as follows:

- The Defendant is hereby ordered to pay the sum of \$\text{\text{\text{M500}}},000.00 (Five Hundred Thousand Naira) only to the Claimant forthwith.
- The Defendant is also ordered to pay the sum of N100,000.00 (One Hundred Thousand Naira) only as cost to the Claimant forthwith.

C. G. Ali Esq.
Chief Magistrate Grade II
06/07/2023

LEGAL REPRESENTATION

- 1. J. Nwonodi-Morgan, Esq. for the Claimant.
- 2. Defendant not represented.

